## Statement of Problem

In order to more closely estimate the number of moves that are required from a given game board state of a 16-piece puzzle to the solution, we construct a neural network. While there are other, less complicated methods of calculating an estimate answer of how many moves are left, such as the Manhattan distance method, which we will be using later to weight against the accuracy of our neural network's predictions, creating a more accurate estimation system would prove to be much more useful. The significance of a more accurate estimator could lead to the development of more accurate path estimators in general, leading to more feasible solutions to otherwise infeasible problems such as the traveling salesman problem.

### **Restrictions and Limitations**

As stated in the project documentation itself, creating a neural network for estimating the number of moves left in a 16 piece puzzle is not a good use of a neural network. On top of this basic limitation of neural networks in general, it should be noted that evaluating the neural network's estimations is only possible for states in which we already know the minimum possible moves to solve. In this sense, rather than trying to bridge between the P and NP problem spaces (as finding the minimum possible moves for an n-piece puzzle is in the NP space), we are merely creating an estimator that mimic such a bridge for this specific type of puzzle, which can only work for up to 28 moves in our case.

### Approach

I approached this problem using Python version 3. The neural network was constructed using the Google TensorFlow backend libraries and Keras. Numpy was also used for some additional computational functions.

### Layers

The neural network was constructed with 1 input layer, 1 output layer, and 2 hidden layers. Here is a short outline of the layer structure:

- 1. 240 input neurons, using hyperbolic tangent.
- 2. 120 neurons, hyperbolic tangent.
- 3. 60 neurons, hyperbolic tangent.
- 4. 29 output neurons, sigmoid for our output.

### **Experiments**

When experimenting with the network, I kept the layers the same for all tests. I wanted to make sure whatever I was doing wasn't dependent on the overall structure of the network itself. I used Stochastic Gradient Descent as my optimizer and Mean Squared Error for my loss function.

In training the neural network, I fed a batch of 1000 states for each file (in which I either fed 1000 states or all the states in the current file if there were less. I then experimented with varying epochs / batch sizes.

## Sample Run

Here are some sample runs of the program running both through Jupyter's HTTP server interface and through VPN on the compute server on a plain Python script.

### Jupyter

```
pos_data = pos_data[1:]
state_pos = []
for p in pos_data:
    state_pos.append(p[1])
testing_target_pos = reduce(generate_pos, pos_data, [])
testing_target.append(format_man_dist(man_dist_state(state_pos, testing_target_pos)))
counter += 1
data = f.read(8)
#print(testing_target)
```

#### Evaluating our test data

```
In [30]: # Evaluate accuracy
```

loss\_and\_metrics = model.evaluate(np.array(testing),np.array(testing\_target), batch\_size=1000)

# Generating predictions:

predictions = model.predict(np.array(testing), batch\_size=1000)

20000/20000 [=====] - Os 2us/step

In [31]: output = []

```
for p in range(len(predictions)):
    if np.argmax(testing_target[p]) < 18:
        output.append(100*((18 - (28 - np.argmax(predictions[p]))) / (18 - np.argmax(testing_target[p]))))
    else:
        output.append(0)
#for i in range(len(output)):
# print(output[i])</pre>
```

print(np.array(output).mean())

print(loss\_and\_metrics)

print(model.metrics\_names)

13.4831845238 [0.18453341573476792, 0.0095500001683831211] ['loss', 'acc']

### Python on compute.cse.tamu.edu

	[=====]	-	0s	13us/step	-	loss:	0.2233	-	acc:	0.0000e+00
Epoch 5/5 1000/1000	[=================]		0s	13us/step		loss:	0.2229		acc:	0.0000e+00
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Epoch 1/5	[==========]		٩c	12us/sten		1000	A 2166		acc.	0 0850
Epoch 2/5	[j		03	1203/3000		.035.	0.2100		acc.	0.0050
	[========]		0s	12us/step		loss:	0.2162		acc:	0.0850
Epoch 3/5 1000/1000	[======]		0s	12us/step		loss:	0.2158		acc:	0.0850
Epoch 4/5										
1000/1000 Epoch 5/5	[======]		0s	10us/step		loss:	0.2154		acc:	0.0850
	[======]		0s	13us/step		loss:	0.2150		acc:	0.0870
26										
Epoch 1/5 1000/1000	[=======]		0s	12us/step		loss:	0.2186		acc:	0.0020
Epoch 2/5										
1000/1000 Epoch 3/5	[======]		0s	llus/step		loss:	0.2182		acc:	0.0020
	[======]		0s	llus/step		loss:	0.2178		acc:	0.0020
Epoch 4/5	[==============================]		00	10uc/ctop		1000	0 2174		200.	0 0020
Epoch 5/5	LJ		05	1005/Step		1055.	0.21/4		acc.	0.0020
1000/1000	[======]		0s	10us/step		loss:	0.2170		acc:	0.0020
27 Epoch 1/5										
1000/1000	[======]		0s	llus/step		loss:	0.2216		acc:	0.0010
Epoch 2/5	[========]		٩s	10us/sten		1055.	0 2213		acc	0 0010
Epoch 3/5										
1000/1000 Epoch 4/5	[======]		0s	10us/step		loss:	0.2209		acc:	0.0010
	[======]		0s	10us/step		loss:	0.2206		acc:	0.0010
Epoch 5/5	r1		0.0	11.00/0400		1	0 2202			0 0010
28	[======]		US	iius/step		LOSS:	0.2202		acc:	0.0010
Epoch 1/5										
1000/1000 Epoch 2/5	[======]		0s	12us/step		loss:	0.2148		acc:	0.0640
1000/1000	[======]		0s	llus/step		loss:	0.2143		acc:	0.0670
Epoch 3/5	[======]		٨s	11us/sten		1055.	0 2139		acc	0 0670
Epoch 4/5										
1000/1000 Epoch 5/5	[=====]		0s	11us/step		loss:	0.2135		acc:	0.0680
	[======]		0s	13us/step		loss:	0.2130		acc:	0.0690
446342/446342 [========================] - 2s 5us/step										
87.4963046167 [0.21731308226626603, 0.038374161657919237]										
['loss', 'acc']										
[shadow8t4]@compute ~/CSCE420/nn420-private> (19:53:32 12/07/17)										
::			25							

### **Results & Analysis**

First, I ran a test on the default input of my network. I used 1000 states or all states for each file, 5 epochs, and 1000 in a batch. I received varying results each time I ran the program, with very little consistency. On testing predictions, I would receive higher "improvement values" (we define percentage improvement in the project documentation to be percentage closer to actual number of moves versus the manhattan distance estimate) the farther away I got from the higher number estimates.

I continued to run tests, changing epochs and batch sizes. In one of my final tests, I changed epochs to 8 and batch size to 2000, here is a full list of the data results from that evaluation:

11 1938/1938 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 131.991744066 loss 0.167936483834 acc 0.0175438595376

12 5808/5808 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 153.83953168 loss 0.169155473757 acc 0.0118801652392

13 10000/10000 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 91.605 loss 0.167931690812 acc 0.0303999996744

14 10000/

10000/10000 [=============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 115.414166667 loss 0.166890135407 acc 0.0186000001617

15

10000/10000 [===============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 98.1758333333 loss 0.168728539348 acc 0.013100000983

16

10000/10000 [==============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 34.4588333333 loss 0.168766576052 acc 0.010100000212

#### 17

10000/10000 [=============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -53.8332380952 loss 0.17060739994 acc 0.010200000887

#### 18

10000/10000 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -0.6924166666667 loss 0.169354042411 acc 0.00440000006929

#### 19

10000/10000 [=============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -29.2496706349 loss 0.171234123409 acc 0.00790000013076

#### 20

10000/10000 [============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 23.3521944444 loss 0.170418299735 acc 0.007200000179

21 10000/10000 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -31.1126269841 loss 0.171738886833 acc 0.0229000000283

22 10000/10000 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 9.1381010101 loss 0.173329897225 acc 0.00890000014333

23

10000/10000 [==============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -43.6177330447 loss 0.174675036967 acc 0.0130999999936

#### 24

10000/10000 [==============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -3.36664033189 loss 0.176320441067 acc 0.0120000001742

#### 25

10000/10000 [============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: -1.81612357087 loss 0.177039775252 acc 0.00450000000419

#### 26

10000/10000 [============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 33.477459596 loss 0.174290961027 acc 0.017100000754

#### 27

10000/10000 [============] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 4.31057944833 loss 0.173010015488 acc 0.007799999999795

28 10000/10000 [======] - 0s 2us/step Percentage possible improvement: 30.1904823787 loss 0.174648806453 acc 0.02450000081

Note that I only test 10,000 states, this is to cut down on computation time.

## Conclusions

The current model of the neural network that is presented ranges from unstable to almost unusable in a practical sense. It should be noted that due to the nature of the neurons being weighted differently, it would make sense that the connections we trained more often (eg: those with more states, such as the higher number moves left states) would be more influential during predictions. However, it's worth noting that even when fixing this issue, possibly by manually weighting the tests per file on something like a 1/1000 scalar, it would not fix the overall issue of the inconsistency of the network overall.

### **Future Research**

As stated in the conclusion, it would improve the network to have some 1/n type weighting scheme during training. Additionally, it was brought up to me by a colleague that a possible reworking of the structure of the layers could prove to be helpful, as the input layer could instead take 15 16-bit inputs telling the current tile rather than a 240 neuron layer spread out for each possible occurrence of any 0 to 15 tile piece.

### Instructions on running (README)

If you are running the program through the compute.cse.tamu.edu servers, you will need to make sure you run using the command:

python3 neural\_network.py

In order to install the necessary libraries to run the program, you will also need to run these commands:

wget "https://bootstrap.pypa.io/get-pip.py" python3 get-pip.py --user python3 -m pip install --user tensorflow python3 -m pip install --user keras python3 -m pip install --user numpy

If you are using a virtual desktop or are logged in on campus somewhere, you should be able to also view the Jupyter Notebook that is included, which has more detailed comments. You will just need to install an additional package.

python3 -m pip install --user jupyter python3 -m notebook nn\_puzzle\_solver.ipynb

In case you are unable to access the notebook, I've included an HTTP version of the notebook as well.

### The Program

```
from functools import reduce
import numpy as np
from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.layers import Dense
from os.path import join
# Used to format our input binary state.
def format_input(acc, elem):
      hex_elem = (elem - (elem >> 4 << 4))
      for x in range(16):
      if x == hex_elem:
            acc.append(1)
      else:
            acc.append(0)
      hex_elem = (elem >> 4) \% 16
      for x in range(16):
      if x == hex_elem:
            acc.append(1)
      else:
            acc.append(0)
      return acc
# Calculate Manhattan distance between two points.
```

```
def man_dist(x, y):
    for a, b in zip(x, y):
    a_one, a_two = x
    b_one, b_two = y
    return (abs(a_one - b_one) + abs(a_two - b_two))
```

```
# Calculate Manhattan distance between each set of two points in a list.
def man_dist_state(x, y):
      return sum(man_dist(a, b) for a, b in zip(x, y))
# Used to format the positions we parsed from our binary input.
def format pos(acc, elem):
      hex_elem = (elem[1] - (elem[1] >> 4 << 4))</pre>
      if hex elem == 0:
      acc.append((hex_elem, (3,3)))
      else:
      acc.append((hex_elem, ((15 - ((elem[0]) * 2)) % 4, int((15 -
((elem[0]) * 2)) / 4))))
      hex_elem = (elem[1] >> 4) % 16
      if hex_elem == 0:
      acc.append((hex_elem, (3,3)))
      else:
      acc.append((hex_elem, ((15 - ((elem[0]) * 2 + 1)) % 4, int((15 -
((elem[0]) * 2 + 1)) / 4))))
      return acc
# The title of this function is slightly misleading.
# I'm simply generating a list of positions that each
# puzzle piece in the current parsed state SHOULD be at.
# I organize this in order of the pieces as they were
# parsed so the two lists line up perfectly.
def generate_pos(acc, elem):
      if(elem[0] == 0):
      acc.append((3,3))
      else:
      acc.append(((((elem[0] - 1) % 4), (int((elem[0] - 1)/4))))
      return acc
# Used to format our ending Manhattan distance into a format
# that can be compared with our 29 output neurons.
def format man dist(elem):
```

```
acc = []
for x in range(28, -1, -1):
if x == elem:
    acc.append(1)
else:
    acc.append(0)
return acc
```

```
target = []
for i in range(29):
    filename = join('/pub/faculty_share/daugher/datafiles/data/' + str(i)
+ 'states.bin')
```

# Debugging to print the current file from which states are being parsed.

```
#print(i)
      temp = []
      with open(filename, 'rb') as f:
      data = f.read(8)
      counter = 0
      while(data and counter < 2000):</pre>
            temp.append(format_man_dist(i))
            data = f.read(8)
            counter += 1
      target.append(temp)
#print(target[28][500])
# Sets up a Sequential model, Sequential is all
# that should need to be used for this project,
# considering that it will only be dealing with
# a linear stack of layers of neurons.
model = Sequential()
# Adding layers to the model.
```

```
model.add(Dense(units=240, activation='tanh', input_dim=240))
model.add(Dense(units=120, activation='tanh'))
model.add(Dense(units=60, activation='tanh'))
model.add(Dense(units=29, activation='sigmoid'))
# Configure the learning process.
model.compile(optimizer='sgd',
      loss='mean_squared_error',
      metrics=['accuracy'])
for i in range(29):
      filename = join('/pub/faculty_share/daugher/datafiles/data/' + str(i)
+ 'states.bin')
      # Debugging to print the current file from which states are being
parsed.
      print(i)
      with open(filename, 'rb') as f:
      data = f.read(8)
      counter = 0
      training = []
      while(data and counter < 2000):</pre>
            bin_data = reduce(format_input, list(data), [])
            bin_data.reverse()
            bin_data = bin_data[16:]
            training.append(bin_data)
            data = f.read(8)
            counter += 1
            #print(training[0])
      # Train the network.
      model.fit(np.array(training), np.array(target[i]), epochs=8,
batch_size=2000)
      #model.train_on_batch(np.array(temp), np.array(target))
```

```
# Used for testing data
for i in range(11, 29):
      filename = join('/pub/faculty_share/daugher/datafiles/data/', str(i)
+ 'states.bin')
      print(i)
      with open(filename, 'rb') as f:
      for i in range(2000):
            data = f.read(8)
      data = f.read(8)
      counter = 0
      testing = []
      testing_target = []
      while(data and counter < 10000):</pre>
            bin_data = reduce(format_input, list(data), [])
            bin_data.reverse()
            bin_data = bin_data[16:]
            testing.append(bin_data)
            pos_data = reduce(format_pos, enumerate(list(data)), [])
            pos_data.reverse()
            pos_data = pos_data[1:]
            state_pos = []
            for p in pos_data:
                  state_pos.append(p[1])
            testing_target_pos = reduce(generate_pos, pos_data, [])
            testing_target.append(format_man_dist(man_dist_state(state_pos,
testing_target_pos)))
```

```
counter += 1
data = f.read(8)
```

#### # Evaluate accuracy

```
loss_and_metrics =
model.evaluate(np.array(testing),np.array(testing_target), batch_size=1000)
```

```
# Generating predictions:

predictions = model.predict(np.array(testing), batch_size=1000)

output = []

for p in range(len(predictions)):

    if np.argmax(testing_target[p]) < 18:

        output.append(100*((18 - (28 -

np.argmax(predictions[p]))) / (18 - np.argmax(testing_target[p]))))

    else:

        output.append(0)

#for i in range(len(output)):

# print(output[i])

print("Percentage possible improvement: ", np.array(output).mean())

print(model.metrics_names[0], loss_and_metrics[0])

print(model.metrics_names[1], loss_and_metrics[1])
```

# Bibliography

"Keras: The Python Deep Learning Library." Keras Documentation, keras.io/.